

From: Robin Costas/ESC/R3/USEPA/US
Sent: 6/19/2012 1:59:09 PM
To: Sue Warner/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA; Peggy Zawodny/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA; Eric Graybill/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA; kevin Poff/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
CC: Elaine Brownley/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Subject: Fw: Response Requested: WO# 1205011 Dimock Final Report Review

Would you please let me know what your comments are for Cindy's comments below for your analyses? thank you robin

----- Forwarded by Robin Costas/ESC/R3/USEPA/US on 06/19/2012 01:57 PM -----

From: Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US
To: Robin Costas/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Elaine Brownley/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 06/19/2012 01:54 PM
Subject: Response Requested: WO# 1205011 Dimock Final Report Review

Robin,

I'm sending these to you so you or Elaine can capture responses from the analysts and also to assess whether some of these that did not impact data need to be in the narrative (our report is final validated so might need to include).

Volatiles

- VOC - Samples -07, -10, -16 had surrogate out. TRC states no data affected since non-detects but shouldn't the quantitation limit be flagged "UJ" or "K"?
- BS1 and BS2 had compounds with high recoveries - again only acetone present in samples but shouldn't quantitation limit be flagged?

TDS

- Duplicate out and -02 qualified but narrative says all QC was within acceptable criteria.

SVOC

- 4,6-dinitro-2-methylphenol was out on LCS but I don't think it is reported (limited list reported) so then the narrative needs to provide the specific recovery and limits. Sample qualified correctly.

Metals

- Qualifier "J" applied to a non-detect result - should be "UJ"

Cynthia Caporale, Chief
OASQA Laboratory Branch
U.S. EPA Region III
Environmental Science Center
Fort Meade, MD
(410) 305-2732
Fax: (410) 305-3095